

## Esame di ammissione al dottorato in Informatica anno 2010

1. The candidate is required to choose and solve two exercises out of the four below:

- (a) Consider a point-to-point link 2 Km in length. At what bandwidth would the propagation delay, at a speed of  $2 \times 10^8 m/s$ , equal the transmit delay for 100-byte packets?
- (b) Let  $A$  be a tree where every node has at most  $r$  sons and let  $F$  be the set of the leaves of  $A$ . Denote with  $l(x)$  the distance between the node  $x$  and the root of the tree. Show that the following relation holds

$$\sum_{f \in F} r^{-l(f)} \leq 1$$

where the equality sign holds if and only if every non-leaf node has exactly  $r$  sons.

- (c) For a language  $L$  over an alphabet  $A$ , Brzowski derivative of  $L$  over a character  $c \in A$  is defined as:

$$D_c(L) = \{w \mid cw \in L\}$$

- i. Consider the operators  $\{\epsilon\}$ ,  $L_1 \cup L_2$ ,  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ ,  $L^*$ , which express, respectively, the language that only contain the empty string, language union, concatenation, and Kleene star, and express their derivative.

Suggestion: to express the derivative of concatenation, you may use the following operator:

$$nullable(L) =_{def} L \cap \{\epsilon\}$$

notice that  $nullable(L) \cdot M$  is equal to  $M$  when  $L$  contains  $\epsilon$ , and is the empty set otherwise.

- ii. A language is 'regular' if it can be expressed using a regular expression, that is an expression built using the following operators:  $\{\epsilon\}$ ,  $\{a\}$  (the singleton that contains just  $a \in A$ ), union, concatenation, Kleene star. Is it true that any Brzowski derivative of a regular language is regular?
- iii. It is well known that equivalence of regular expressions is decidable. For two regular expressions  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  and a character  $c \in A$ , is it decidable whether  $L(E_1) = D_c(L(E_2))$ , where  $L(E)$  is the language denoted by  $E$ ?

- (d) The group-by operator

$$\gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1(B_1), \dots, f_m(B_m)\}}(R)$$

often written as  $A_1, \dots, A_n \gamma_{f_1(B_1), \dots, f_m(B_m)}(R)$ , extends the relational algebra with the ability to execute the operation that can be described by the following piece of SQL code:

```

select    A1,...,An, f1(B1) as 'f1(B1)',..., fm(Bm) as 'fm(Bm)'
from      R
group by  A1,...,An

```

where  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_m$  are attributes of  $R$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are aggregation functions, which we will assume to be chosen among *count*, *sum* and *average* only, operating on multisets (please ignore any issue related to null values).

- i. Give a set-theoretic formal definition of the semantics of

$$\gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1(B_1), \dots, f_m(B_m)\}}(R)$$

as an example, we give here such a definition for restriction  $\sigma_{cond}(R)$  and projection  $\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R)$ ; we use  $t[A]$  to extract the  $A$  attribute from  $t$ .

- A.  $\sigma_{cond}(R) = \{t \mid t \in R. \text{cond}(t) = \text{True}\}$

- B.  $\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R) = \{t[A_1], \dots, t[A_n] \mid t \in R\}$

since  $f_i$ 's operate on multisets, we suggest to exploit the notation  $[T \mid t \in R. \text{cond}(t)]$  to indicate the multiset of  $R$  elements satisfying *cond*.

- ii. Specify the attributes of the result and the good formation rules (those having to do with attributes) for  $\gamma$ , in the following style, here exemplified for  $\sigma$ :

- A.  $\sigma_{cond}(R)$ : result attributes: the attributes of  $R$ ; good formation: all the attributes of *cond* must be attributes of  $R$ .

- iii. Give some sufficient conditions (we do not require them to be necessary) such that the following rewritings do not modify the result of the expression, for any  $R$ ; if needed, you may use functional dependencies

- A.  $\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n, 'f_1(C_1)', \dots, 'f_k(C_k)'} \gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_m\}, \{f_1(C_1), \dots, f_k(C_k)\}}(R)$   
 $\Rightarrow \gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1(C_1), \dots, f_k(C_k)\}}(R)$

- B.  $\sigma_{cond}(\gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1(C_1), \dots, f_k(C_k)\}}(R))$   
 $\Rightarrow \gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1(C_1), \dots, f_k(C_k)\}}(\sigma_{cond}(R))$

- C.  $\gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1('f_1(C_1)'), \dots, f_k('f_k(C_k)')\}}(\gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_m\}, \{f_1(C_1), \dots, f_k(C_k)\}}(R))$   
 $\Rightarrow \gamma_{\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{f_1(C_1), \dots, f_k(C_k)\}}(R)$   
 (please ignore the fact that the resulting attributes have different names, since  $'f_i(C_i)'$  is a different string from  $'f_i(f_i(C_i))'$ .)

2. The candidate is required to choose one of the following areas and discuss one specific research problem inside that area:

- (a) Data bases
- (b) Computational complexity
- (c) Programming languages
- (d) Distributed systems